

के. संजय मूर्ति, भा.प्र.से.

सचिव

K. SANJAY MURTHY, IAS

Secretary

Tel. : 011-23386451, 23382698

Fax : 011-23385807

E-mail : secy.dhe@nic.in



सत्यमेव जयते



आज़ादी का  
अमृत महोत्सव

भारत सरकार  
Government of India  
शिक्षा मंत्रालय

Ministry of Education

उच्चतर शिक्षा विभाग

Department of Higher Education

127 'सी' विंग, शास्त्री भवन, नई दिल्ली-110 001

127 'C' Wing, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110 001

D.O. No. A.44011/14/2024-E.I

Dated the 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2024

*Dear Sir / Madam,*

I may like to draw your kind attention to the provisions contained in FR 56(j)/(I) and Rule 48 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 [now revised as Rule 42 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 2021] which stipulates periodic review of employees about their retention in service or premature retirement in public interest with a view to strengthen the administrative machinery by developing reasonable and efficient administration at all levels and to achieve efficiency, economy and speed in the disposal of work in the Ministries / Departments and PSUs / autonomous institutions and statutory bodies of Government of India.

2. The periodic review is to be undertaken by a Review Committee constituted for aforesaid purpose and the broad criteria for reviewing shall be:

- I. Doubtful integrity
- II. Ineffectiveness
- III. Conduct unbecoming of Government Servant

3. The entire service record, including APAR, Personal file or any other relevant records shall also be taken into account at the time of review, which is to be done on a quarterly basis. The decision of the review committee to retire any employee is required to be put up for consideration and approval of the appropriate / appointing authority, as applicable. There is also a provision for submitting a representation within three weeks from the date of order of premature retirement by the concerned employee. The representation committee is required to dispose of the representation in a time bound manner i.e. within six weeks time. It is also relevant to mention that premature retirement under these rules is not a penalty and is distinct from 'Compulsory Retirement'.

4. The validity of FR 56 (j) has also been upheld by in a series of judgements delivered by Supreme Court in the matter of UOI & Col. J.M. Sinha (1571 SCR (1)791), State of Gujarat Vs Umedbhai M. Patel, 2001 (3) SCC 314 and S Ramchandra Raju vs State of Orissa {(1994) 3 SCC 424}. DoPT vide OM No. 25013/01/2024-Pers.Policy A-IV dated 27.6.2024 (copy enclosed along with its enclosures) has reiterated the relevant O.Ms. dated 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2016 and 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2022 for instituting / activating a mechanism for periodic review of all employees of statutory bodies / autonomous bodies / PSUs under Ministries / Departments.

5. The status of periodic review of all employees is monitored by DoPT and is required to be updated in a prescribed format as in DoPT's letter dated 27.6.2024 by 15<sup>th</sup> of each month by the Ministry / Department and their allied organizations. As such, this information may be sent at gupta.bimalkumar@gov.in / prateeksagar.edu@gov.in and also uploaded on the probity portal (<https://probity-dopt.nic.in>).


*Contd.-*

-2-

6. I therefore request all the CFHEIs / Research and Language Councils / PSU / Regulatory bodies under this Department to provide an update regarding action taken with regard to periodic review as per Department of Personnel and Training OM No. 25013/01/2024-Pers.Policy A-IV dated 27.6.2024.

*Regards.*

Encl.: As above.

Yours sincerely,  
  
(K. Sanjay Murthy)

Heads of all CFHEIs as per the list enclosed.



①



F. No. 25013/01/2024-Pers.Policy A-IV

Government of India

Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions

Department of Personnel and Training

(Personnel Policy A-IV)

North Block, New Delhi

Dated : 27<sup>th</sup> June, 2024

**OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

**Subject: - Periodic Review of Central Government Employees for strengthening of administration under Fundamental Rule (FR) 56(j)/(l) and rule-48 of CCS(Pension) Rules, 1972 [now, revised as Rule 42 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 2021] – reg.**

The undersigned is directed to refer to the subject cited above and to state that instructions have been issued from time to time for undertaking periodic review of performance of Government servants with a view to ascertain whether the Government servant should be retained in service or retired from service prematurely, in public interest, as per relevant provisions of Fundamental/ Pension Rules referred to in the subject cited above. In addition to the various instructions/guidelines issued in the subject matter, the DoPT vide its OM No. 25013/03/2019-Estt.A-IV dated 28.08.2020 (copy enclosed) has issued a comprehensive and consolidated guidelines detailing the procedures to be followed in identifying the cases of premature retirement under FR 56 (j)/(l) and Rule 48 of CCS (Pension) Rules directing all the Ministries/Departments to follow the content of the said OM strictly and ensure its wide circulation amongst all concerned. The detailed guidelines on the above subject are already available in public domain at <https://dopt.gov.in> → Archives → Orders → Establishment → Premature retirement.

2. Similarly, in so far as strengthening of administration by periodical review under FR 56(j) in respect of Autonomous Institutions and Statutory bodies is concerned, the DoPT's OM No. 26012/8/2022-Estt.A-IV dated 20.12.2022 and OM No.25013/1/2016-Estt.A-IV dated 11.03.2016 (copies enclosed) respectively may be referred to.

3. It may be noted that the objective of Fundamental Rule (FR) 56 (j)/(l) and Rule 48 of CCS (Pension) Rules [now, revised as Rule 42 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 2021] is to strengthen the administrative machinery by developing responsible and efficient administration at all levels and to achieve efficiency, economy and speed in the disposal of Government functions.

4. The Administrative Ministries/Departments have repeatedly been requested to adhere to guidelines issued in this regard and furnish a report to this effect to the Department of Personnel and Training. However, it has come to notice that various Ministries/Departments are not adhering to the said guidelines resulting in delay in identifying the Government employees due for review under relevant provisions of FR- 56 (j)/(l) and Rule 48 of CCS (Pension) Rules [now, revised as Rule 42 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 2021].

5. In view of the above, the Ministries/Departments are requested to take immediate action to identify the employees due for review under relevant provisions of Fundamental/Pension Rules and ensure that their cases are expeditiously submitted for consideration before the duly constituted Review Committee as per extant instructions. Further, all Ministries/Departments are also requested to direct the Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs)/Banks, Autonomous Institutions and Statutory bodies under their

शिक्षा मंत्रालय/Min. of Education

04 JUL 2024

स्केन/SCANNED




administrative control to undertake the exercise of periodic review of employees under the relevant provisions.

6. Further, all the Ministries/Departments/Organisations are also requested to strictly adhere to the timeline mentioned in DoPT's OM dated 28.08.2020 for undertaking the exercise of review of performance of the Government servants and for the employees of PSUs/Banks/autonomous institutions/statutory organisations under their administrative control to ensure that the employees with doubtful integrity or found to be ineffective are not allowed to continue in Government and to furnish a report to DoPT in format given below by 15<sup>th</sup> day of each month starting from July, 2024:

Number of employees to be reviewed under FR- 56 (j)/(1)/Rule 42 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 2021, group-wise (A/B/C)	Number of employees reviewed under provisions of FR- 56 (j)/(1)/Rule 42 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 2021 group-wise (A/B/C)	Number of employees reviewed and against whom the provisions of FR- 56 (j)/(1)/Rule 42 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 2021 invoked/ recommended group-wise (A/B/C)	Number of employees retired prematurely invoking FR- 56 (j)/(1)/Rule 42 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 2021 group-wise (A/B/C)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)

7. Hindi version will follow.

Encls: as above.



(Shankra Nand Bharti)

Deputy Secretary to the Government of India

Tel: 011-23094471

Email: [shankra.bharti@nic.in](mailto:shankra.bharti@nic.in)

To,

All Secretaries of Ministries/ Departments of the Govt. of India  
(As per the standard list)

Copy to:

1. Comptroller & Auditor General of India, New Delhi.
2. Union Public Service Commission, New Delhi.
3. Central Vigilance Commission, New Delhi.
4. Central Bureau of Investigation, New Delhi.
5. Parliament Library, New Delhi.
6. All Union Territory Administrations.
7. Lok Sabha/ Rajya Sabha Secretariat.
8. All Attached and Subordinate Offices of Ministry of Personnel, P.G. & Pensions.
9. Hindi Section for Hindi version.

\*\*\*\*\*

No.25013/03/2019-Estt.A-IV  
Government of India  
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions  
Department of Personnel and Training  
Establishment A-IV Desk  
\*\*\*\*\*

North Block, New Delhi-1  
Dated : 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2020

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: - Periodic Review of Central Government Employees for strengthening of administration under Fundamental Rule (FR) 56(j)/(l) and Rule 48 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972

Instructions have been issued from time to time for undertaking periodic review of performance of Government servants with a view to ascertain whether the Government servant should be retained in service or retired from service prematurely, in public interest, as per Fundamental provisions/Rule referred in the subject cited above. In order to bring in better clarity to the existing instructions and enable uniform implementation, an effort has been made to review, consolidate and reiterate the guidelines so far issued on the subject at one place.

2. The objective of Fundamental Rule (FR) 56(j)/(l) and Rule 48 of CCS(Pension) Rules, 1972, is to strengthen the administrative machinery by developing responsible and efficient administration at all levels and to achieve efficiency, economy and speed in the disposal of Government functions. It is clarified that premature retirement of Government servants under these rules is not a penalty. It is distinct from 'Compulsory Retirement', which is one of prescribed penalties under CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965.

3. Provisions relating to pre-mature retirement in the Fundamental Rules and CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972

3.1 The Appropriate Authority has the absolute right to retire a Government servant under FR 56(j), FR 56(l) or Rule 48 (1) (b) of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 as the case may be, if it is necessary to do so in public interest.



3.2 FR 56(j) :- The Appropriate Authority shall, if it is of the opinion that it is in the public interest so to do, have the absolute right to retire any Government servant by giving him notice of not less than three months in writing or three months' pay and allowances in lieu of such notice :-

(i) If he is, in Group 'A' or Group 'B' service or post in a substantive, quasi-permanent or temporary capacity and had entered Government service before attaining the age of 35 years, after he has attained the age of 50 years;

(ii) In any other case after he has attained the age of 55 years.

3.3 FR 56(l) :- Notwithstanding anything contained in clause (j), the Appropriate Authority shall, if it is of the opinion that it is in the public interest to do so, have the absolute right to retire a Government servant in Group C service or post who is not governed by any pension rules, after he has completed thirty years' service by giving him notice of not less than three months in writing or three months' pay and allowances in lieu of such notice.

3.4 Rule 48 (1) (b) of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 :- At any time after a Government servant has completed thirty (30) years' qualifying service, he may be required by the Appointing Authority to retire in the public interest and in the case of such retirement, the Government servant shall be entitled to a retiring pension, provided that the Appointing Authority may also give a notice in writing to a Government servant at least three months before the date on which he is required to retire in the public interest or three months' pay and allowances in lieu of such notice.

4. Time Schedule to be followed :- The time schedule given in the following table, shall be followed for undertaking the exercise of review of performance of Government servants :-

Quarter in which review is to be made	Cases of Government servants, in the quarter indicated below to be reviewed
January to March	July to September of the same year
April to June	October to December of the same year
July to September	January to March of the next year
October to December	April to June of the next year

5. **Maintenance of Register :-** A register of the Government servants who are due to attain the age of 50/55 years or to complete 30 years of service, has to be maintained. The register should be scrutinized at the beginning of every quarter by a senior officer in the Ministry / Department / Cadre and the review be undertaken according to the above schedule so as to ensure timely completion of the review for retention/pre-mature retirement of the Government servants.

6. Government may, at any time after a Government servant has attained the age of 50/55 years or completed 30 years of service, as the case may be, retire him pre-maturely in public interest. However, non-adherence to the time-lines as indicated in para 4 above due to certain administrative exigencies shall not take away the powers of Appropriate Authority to pre-maturely retire a Government servant under FR 56(j), 56(l) and Rule 48 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972. Therefore, review of a Government servant for the purposes of these Rules can be undertaken even after he has attained the age of 50/55 years in cases covered by FR 56 (j) or after he has completed 30 years of qualifying service under FR 56(l) / Rule 48 of CCS(Pension) Rules, 1972.

7. There is also no bar on the Government to review any such case again where it was decided earlier to retain the officer, but the Appropriate/Appointing Authority is of the opinion that it is expedient to undertake the review again on account of changed circumstances, in public interest. In such cases, the Appropriate Authority is expected to demonstrate visible meticulousness as such Government servants have been found effective on earlier occasion for retention in service.

8. **Composition of Review and Representation Committee :-**

8.1 The concerned Secretary of the Cadre Controlling Authority (CCA) will constitute Review Committees of two members at appropriate level as under :-

(i) **In case of officers holding Group A posts :-**

Review Committee shall be headed by the Secretary of the concerned CCA. Where there are Boards viz CBDT, CBEC, Railway Board,



Postal Board, Telecom Commission etc, the Review Committee shall be headed by the Chairman of such Board.

(ii) In case of Group B (Gazetted) officers :-

Additional Secretary/Joint Secretary level officer shall head the Review Committee.

(iii) In the case of Non-Gazetted employees :-

(a) An officer of the level of Joint Secretary will head the Committee. However, in case the Appointing Authority is lower in rank than a Joint Secretary, then an officer of the level of Director/Deputy Secretary will be the head.

(b) In the case of Non-Gazetted employees in other than centralised cadres, Head of Department/Head of the Organisation shall decide the composition of the Review Committee.

Chief Vigilance Officer, in case of Gazetted officers, or his representative in case of non-Gazetted officers, will be associated in case of record reflecting adversely on the integrity of any employee.

8.2 The composition of Representation Committee for all Government servants shall consist of :-

- (a) A Secretary to the Government of India to be nominated by the Cabinet Secretary;
- (b) Additional Secretary/Joint Secretary in the Cabinet Secretariat; and
- (c) One member nominated by the CCA.

9. Constitution of Internal Committee :- In addition to the above, Secretary of the CCA is also empowered to constitute an Internal Committee comprising of such officer(s) as deemed fit to assist the Review Committee. These Committees will ensure that the service record of the Government servants being reviewed, along



with a summary, bringing out all relevant information, is submitted to the Cadre Authorities at least three months prior to the due date of review.

10. **Broad Criteria to be followed by the Review Committee :-** The broad criteria to be followed by the Review Committee while making the recommendations are as follows:-

- (i) Government servants whose integrity is doubtful, shall be retired.
- (ii) Government servants found to be ineffective shall also be retired. The basic consideration in identifying such Government servants should be their fitness/competence to continue in the post held.
- (iii) No Government servant should ordinarily be retired on ground of ineffectiveness. If, in any event, he would be retiring on superannuation within a period of one year from the date of consideration of his case. However, in a case where there is a sudden and steep fall in the competence, efficiency or effectiveness of a Government servant, it would be open to review such a case also for premature retirement. The said instruction of not retiring the Government servant within one year on the ground of ineffectiveness except in case of sudden and steep fall in his performance is relevant only when he is proposed to be retired on the ground of ineffectiveness, but not on the ground of doubtful integrity.
- (iv) No Government servant should ordinarily be retired on ground of ineffectiveness, if, his service during the preceding 5 years or where he has been promoted to a higher post during that 5 year period, his service in the highest post, has been found satisfactory. There is no such stipulation, however, where the Government servant is to be retired on grounds of doubtful integrity. In case of those Government servants who have been promoted during the last 5 years, the previous entries in the ACRs may be taken into account if he was promoted on the basis of seniority cum fitness, and not on the basis of merit.
- (v) The entire service record of a Government servant should be considered at the time of review. The expression 'service record' refers to all relevant records and therefore, the review should not be confined to the consideration of the ACR/APAR dossier. The personal file of the Government servant may contain valuable material. Similarly, his work and

performance could also be assessed by looking into files dealt with by him or in any papers or reports prepared and submitted by him. It would be useful if the Ministry / Department/Cadre puts together all the data available about the Government servant and prepares a comprehensive brief for consideration by the Review Committee. Even uncommunicated remarks in the ACRs/APARs may be taken into consideration.

## 11. Important judgements of Supreme Court

11.1 In the judgement in the case of *UOI & Col. J.N.Sinha [1571 SCR (1) 791]*, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had not only upheld the validity of FR 56(j), but also held that no show-cause notice needs to be issued to any Government servant before a notice of retirement is issued to him under the aforesaid provisions. The Apex Court held that –

*"Now coming to the express words of Fundamental Rule 56(j), it says that the appropriate authority has the absolute right to retire a government servant if it is of the opinion that it is in the public interest to do so. The right conferred on the appropriate authority is an absolute one. That power can be exercised subject to the conditions mentioned in the rule. One of which is that the concerned authority must be of the opinion that it is in public interest to do so. If that authority bona fide forms that opinion, the correctness of that opinion cannot be challenged before courts. It is open to an aggrieved party to contend that the requisite opinion has not been formed or the decision is based on collateral grounds or that it is an arbitrary decision."*

11.2 In the case of *State of Gujarat vs Umedbhai M. Patel, 2001 (3) SCC 314*, Hon'ble Court held that –

"The law relating to compulsory retirement has now crystalized into definite principles, which could be broadly summarized thus:

- (i) Whenever the services of a public servant are no longer useful to the general administration, the officer can be compulsorily retired for the sake of public interest.



- (ii) Ordinarily, the order of compulsory retirement is not to be treated as a punishment coming under Article 311 of the Constitution.
- (iii) For better administration, it is necessary to chop off dead wood, but the order of compulsory retirement can be passed after having due regard to the entire service record of the officer.
- (iv) Any adverse entries made in the confidential record shall be taken note of and be given due weightage in passing such order.
- (v) Even un-communicated entries in the confidential record can also be taken into consideration.
- (vi) The order of compulsory retirement shall not be passed as a short cut to avoid Departmental enquiry when such course is more desirable.
- (vii) If the officer was given a promotion despite adverse entries made in the confidential record, that is a fact in favour of the officer.
- (viii) Compulsory retirement shall not be imposed as a punitive measure. "

12. The observations of the Supreme Court with regard to Integrity and conduct unbecoming of a Government servant

12.1 As far as integrity is concerned, the following observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of S Ramchandra Raju vs State of Orissa ((1994) 3 SCC 424), while upholding compulsory retirement in the case, may be kept in view :

*"The officer would live by reputation built around him. In an appropriate case, there may not be sufficient evidence to take punitive disciplinary action of removal from service. But his conduct and reputation is such that his continuance in service would be a menace to public service and injurious to public interest. The entire service record or character rolls or confidential reports maintained would furnish the backdrop material for consideration by the Government or the Review Committee or the appropriate authority. On consideration of the totality of the facts and circumstances alone; the Government should form the opinion that the Government officer needs to be compulsorily retired from service. Therefore, the entire record more particularly, the latest, would form the foundation for the opinion and furnish the base to exercise the power under the relevant rule to compulsorily retire a Government officer."*

12.2 While considering the aspect of integrity of an employee, all material on record, including the actions or decisions taken by the employee which do not appear to be above board, complaints received against him, or suspicious property transactions, for which there may not be sufficient evidence to initiate departmental proceedings, may also be taken into account. The judgement of the Apex Court in the case of *K. Kandaswamy vs Union Of India & Anr*, 1996 AIR 277, 1995 SCC (6) 162 is relevant here. In this case, the apex court upheld the decision of the Government and held that:-

*"The rights - constitutional or statutory - carry with them corollary duty to maintain efficiency, integrity and dedication to public service. Unfortunately, the latter is being overlooked and neglected and the former unduly gets emphasised. The appropriate Government or the authority would, therefore, need to consider the totality of the facts and circumstances appropriate in each case and would form the opinion whether compulsory retirement of a Government employee would be in the public interest. The opinion must be based on the material on record; otherwise it would amount to arbitrary or colourable exercise of power."*

12.3 Similarly, reports of conduct unbecoming of a Government servant may also form basis for compulsory retirement. As per the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of U.P. and Others vs Vijay Kumar Jain*, Appeal (civil) 2083 of 2002:

*"If conduct of a government employee becomes unbecoming to the public interest or obstructs the efficiency in public services, the government has an absolute right to compulsorily retire such an employee in public interest."*

13. **Approval of Appropriate/Appointing Authority :-** The recommendations of Review Committee will be put up for consideration and approval of Appropriate/Appointing Authority in those cases, where it has been recommended to retire the Government servant prematurely.


14. **Representation against Premature Retirement :-** After issue of the orders of premature retirement, the concerned Government servant may put up representation for orders otherwise, within three weeks from the date of service of such notice / order and the matter may be placed before Representation Committee



along with fresh input, if any. The examination of the representation should be completed by the Cadre Authorities within two weeks from the date of receipt of representation. The Representation Committee considering the representation shall make its recommendations within two weeks from the date of receipt of the reference from the Cadre Authorities concerned and the Appropriate/Appointing Authority should pass its orders within two weeks from the date of receipt of the recommendations of Representation Committee.

15. In so far as the provisions which are not covered in this OM, the provisions in the earlier OMs shall continue to be applicable.

16. All Ministries/Departments are requested to follow the contents of this OM strictly and to ensure its wide circulation amongst all concerned.

  
28.8.20  
(Surya Narayan Jha)

Under Secretary to the Government of India

Tel: 23040341

To

The Secretaries of All Ministries/Departments  
(as per the standard list)

Copy to:

1. President's Secretariat, New Delhi.
2. Vice-President's Secretariat, New Delhi.
3. The Prime Minister's Office, New Delhi.
4. Cabinet Secretariat, New Delhi.
5. Rajya Sabha Secretariat/ Lok Sabha Secretariat, New Delhi.
6. The Comptroller and Auditor General of India, New Delhi.
7. The Secretary, Union Public Service Commission, New Delhi.
8. The Secretary, Staff Selection Commission, New Delhi.
9. All attached offices under the Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions.

10. National Commission for Scheduled Castes, New Delhi.
11. National Commission for Scheduled Tribes, New Delhi.
12. National Commission for OBCs, New Delhi. 13. Secretary, National Council (3CM), 13, Feroze Shah Road, New Delhi.
14. CVOs of all Ministries/Departments.
15. ADG (M&C), Press Information Bureau, DoP&T
16. NIC, DoPT, North Block, New Delhi (for uploading the same on the website of this Ministry under the Head 'OMs & Orders' → Establishment → Premature Retirement.
17. OL Division :-For Hindi version of the OM at the earliest possible.



F.No. 26012/8/2022 -Estt.A-IV  
Government of India  
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions  
Department of Personnel and Training  
(Estt.A-IV Desk)

North Block, New Delhi  
Dated: 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2022


**OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

Subject: Strengthening of Administration by periodical review under FR 56(j);  
Statutory Body regarding.

The undersigned is directed to refer to DOPT's OM No. 25013/03/2019-Estt.A-IV dated 28.08.2020 regarding Periodic Review of Central Government Employees for strengthening of administration under FR 56(j)/(i) and Rule 42 of CCS (Pension) Rules, 2021 [erstwhile Rule 48 of CCS (Pension) Rules 1972].

2. As regards applicability of provisions of FR-56(j) and composition of Representation Committee in respect of statutory bodies, the following provisions are made for the statutory bodies:

- i. Where the Statutory Body have adopted the provisions of FR 56(j), Administrative Ministry may ensure that they are strictly followed;
- ii. Where the Statutory Body have similar provisions in their rule books, the Administrative Ministry may ensure that they are followed in letter and spirit;
- iii. Where no such provisions exist, the Administrative Ministry may ensure that the action is taken to put in place such rules as may be necessary; and
- iv. The composition of the Representation Committee in respect of employees of Statutory bodies may be decided with the approval of Minister-in-charge of the Ministry under which the Statutory bodies fall.

  
(Satish Kumar)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India  
Tel: 23040341

To  
The Secretaries of All Ministries/Departments  
(As per the Standard List)

Copy to:

1. President Secretariat, New Delhi.
2. Vice-President's Secretariat, New Delhi.

Contd.....

From pre-page:

3. The Prime Minister's Office, New Delhi.
4. Cabinet Secretariat, New Delhi.
5. Rajya Sabha Secretariat/ Lok Sabha Secretariat, New Delhi.
6. The Comptroller and Auditor General of India, New Delhi.
7. The Secretary, Union Public Service Commission, New Delhi.
8. The Secretary, Staff Selection Commission, New Delhi.
9. All attached offices under the Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions.
10. National Commission for Scheduled Castes, New Delhi.
11. National Commission for Scheduled Tribes, New Delhi.
12. National Commission for OBCs, New Delhi.
13. Secretary, National Council ( M), 13, Feroze Shah Road, New Delhi.
14. CVOs of All Ministries/Departments.
15. ADG (M&C), Press Information Bureau, DOP&T
16. Hindi Section for translation in Hindi.

\*\*\*\*\*



No.25013/1/2016-Estt.A-IV  
Government of India  
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions  
Department of Personnel and Training  
\*\*\*\*\*


North Block, New Delhi  
Dated 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2016

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject :- Strengthening of Administration by periodical review under  
FR 56(j) : Autonomous Institutions reg.

The undersigned is directed to refer to DoP&T's OM No. 25013/01/2013-Estt.A-IV dated 11.9.2015 and 01.03.2016 and to say that in so far as Autonomous Institutions are concerned, the following have been decided :-

- (i) Where the Autonomous Institutions have adopted the provisions of FR 56 (j), Administrative Ministry may ensure that they are strictly followed ;
- (ii) Where the Autonomous Institutions have similar provisions in their rule books, the Administrative Ministry may ensure that they are followed in letter and spirit ; and
- (iii) Where no such provisions exist, the Administrative Ministry may ensure that the action is taken to put in place such rules as may be necessary.

  
(Surya Narayan Jha)

Under Secretary to the Government of India

To,

The Secretaries of All Ministries / Departments  
(As per the Standard List)

Copy to:

1. President Secretariat, New Delhi.
2. Vice-President's Secretariat, New Delhi.
3. The Prime Minister's Office, New Delhi.
4. Cabinet Secretariat, New Delhi.
5. Rajya Sabha Secretariat/ Lok Sabha Secretariat, New Delhi.

Contd...

*From pre-page:*

6. The Comptroller and Auditor General of India, New Delhi.
7. The Secretary, Union Public Service Commission, New Delhi.
8. The Secretary, Staff Selection Commission, New Delhi.
9. All attached offices under the Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions.
10. National Commission for Scheduled Castes, New Delhi.
11. National Commission for Scheduled Tribes, New Delhi.
12. National Commission for OBCs, New Delhi.
13. Secretary, National Council ( M), 13, Feroze Shah Road, New Delhi.
14. CVOs of All Ministries/Departments.
15. ADG (M&C), Press Information Bureau, DOP&T
- ✓ 16. NIC, DOP& T, North Block, New Delhi (for uploading the same on the website of this Ministry under the Head 'OMs & Orders' → Establishment → 'Premature Retirement'.
17. Hindi Section for translation in Hindi.